Qualifying Exam

Student:				
Mentor:				
Date:				
Committee Chair:			_	
Committee Member 1:			<u>—</u>	
Committee Member 2:			<u> </u>	
Committee Member 3:			<u></u>	
Committee Member 4:				
DG	e.	Doug Kojetin		
Rating Scale:				
Outstanding - exceeds expectations				
2. Very good - meets expectations				
	Acceptable - some improvements recommended			
Unsatisfactory - significant improvement required				
	Written Proposal			
	Oral Communication			
	Originality			
	Significance			
	Familiarity with Research Literature			
	Critical Thinking Skills			
	Background knowledge in Biochemistry, Genetics, and Cell Biology			
	Familiarity with Respo	nsible Conduct in Research (RCR) concepts		
Overall Result: Pass Fail				
Overall Nesult.				
Time-line for next meeting:				
3 months				
	6 months			
\Box	Other			

Please attach a written narrative outlining student strengths and weaknesses. Please note that if a student fails this portion of the exam, they have one chance to correct their deficiencies. The narrative should provide a deadline (generally no more than 6-8 weeks) to complete the second attempt.