# Thesis Proposal Evaluation

Use the rating scale provided to evaluate the criteria for each component below. Return this form to Lorie Franklin (D2220 MCN) following the proposal meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Name:</th>
<th>Student ID#:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisor Name:</td>
<td>Date Meeting Held:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis Title:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating Scale:** 4 = Exceeds Expectations, 3 = Meets Expectations, 2 = Needs Work, 1 = Unsatisfactory

## Written Component

1. Thesis Proposal clarity: Clearly defined & testable hypothesis, & experimental design
2. Ability to organize scientific data
3. Experimental execution & competency
4. Accuracy of experimental interpretation
5. Cites appropriate research literature

### Overall Rating for Written Component

## Oral Presentation/Knowledge Component

1. Organization of Slide Presentation
2. Clarity of thought in verbal presentation
3. Ability to interpret and answer questions appropriately
4. Familiarity with research literature
5. Understanding of background principles
6. Understanding of the principles of RCR

### Overall Rating for Oral Presentation/Knowledge Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exceeds expectations ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Timeline for next meeting

___2 months ___3 months ___4 months ___6 months

For an overall result of Unsatisfactory, the committee Chair will complete a Thesis Proposal Evaluation Addendum with an explanation of unsatisfactory ratings and an expected date for the student to present corrections to the committee. The Addendum should be forwarded, within 24 hours of receipt of the Addendum form, to the Student, the Committee, the DGS (currently Jin Chen) and the GPEC. The Thesis Report Addendum form will be e-mailed to the Committee Chair, by the GPC, upon receipt of this results form.

## Members of the Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please Type Name:</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>,Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Director of Graduate Studies:**

Jin Chen, M.D., Ph.D.
RCR Discussion Record

Trainee Name: 

Date: 

Forum: 

Participants: 

Responsible Conduct in Research Topics Discussed:

- Conflict of interest
- Data sharing
- Responsible authorship and publication
- Policies for handling misconduct
- Policies regarding the use of human and animal subjects
- Data management
- Peer review
- Mentor/trainee responsibilities
- Collaborative science

Comments/Details: